

# **2025 (G25) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program**

## **BLM - Ridgecrest Field Office's Factual Findings**

---

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division's Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the [Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations webpage](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)  
[https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page\\_id=23747](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)

---

### **General Evaluation Criteria**

- #1d - OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 2 points.
- #6 - Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.

### **Education & Safety: G25-01-15-S01**

#### **Project Description – Background**

- Applicant has added “Friends of Jawbone” without receiving a Division or public comment. Applicant is reminded they may not make changes to any portion of the Application without public or Division comment. Applicant must revise the narrative to remove the language.

#### **Project Description – Project Description**

- No change.

#### **Project Description – List of Project Deliverables**

- Per Applicant's Project Cost Estimate (PCE), Applicant must revise to remove any items that will not contain an OHV safety message.

#### **Project Description – All Others**

- No change.

#### **Project Cost Estimate**

- Staff All Line items - Applicant is reminded that only time spent discussing OHV education can be charged to this Project.

- Staff #1 “Law Enforcement Ranger” - Line item duties are duplicative of those that are covered in the Applicant's Law Enforcement Grant which also covers educational opportunities for OHV Safety. Division understands a portion of time will be spent on the Safety Project. Line item is reduced by 50%. Deduct \$14,880 Grant and deduct \$3,120. Revised line item is now \$14,760 Grant and \$3,240 match.
- Staff #3 “Volunteers/Interns” - Applicant did not respond to Division comment justifying the need for the line item. Line item must be removed. Applicant now does not meet the minimum 25% match requirement for the Project and must move \$2,640 from Grant to match.
- Materials / Supplies (all) - Applicant is reminded to provide a better methodology for the QTY, Rate, and UOM for future Applications when justifying cost.
- Materials / Supplies #2 “Promotional Items” - Applicant is reminded that all materials must contain an OHV safety message in order to be eligible for reimbursement. Applicant shall review the materials with Grant Administrator prior to purchase.

Revised Project Totals:

Grant Request: \$37,420

Match: \$15,118

Total Project costs: \$52,598

### **Evaluation Criteria**

- #3 - Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
- #4 - Narrative does not support the selection. The organization “Tehachapi Mountain Rodeo” is not an eligible partner, as “providing space” is not considered related to successfully accomplishing the Project. Additionally, the partnership “Desert Land Trust” is not supported by the Project Description section. Deduct 2 points.
- #6 - Narrative does not support the selection. Holding meetings “via phone” is not an eligible method for meetings. Additionally, Applicant no longer has multiple distinct stakeholders. Deduct 1 point.
- #7 - Narrative does not support the selection of “Process of researching issues” as it is not addressed in the Project Description section. Additionally, “Evaluation of the Project process” is not supported as Applicant indicates that the activity will be completed at the end of the Grant cycle. Deduct 4 points.
- #8 - Narrative does not support the selection of “Hands on Learning” as it is not addressed in the Project Description. Deduct 2 points.

### **Ground Operations: G25-01-15-G01**

#### **Project Description – Background**

- No change.

### **Project Description – Project Description**

- No change.

### **Project Description – List of Project Deliverables**

- No change.

### **Project Description – All Others**

- No change.

### **Project Cost Estimate**

- No change.

### **Evaluation Criteria**

- #3 - Narrative does not support the selections of "Providing varied levels of riding difficulty". Applicant did not provide example(s) of the activities performed as part of the Project to support the selection. Deduct 1 point.
- #4 - Narrative does not support the selection. The stakeholder meeting held on 2/26/25 conducted via phone is not considered a virtual meeting. Public and stakeholder(s) meetings must be in person or virtual. In addition, the stakeholder meeting held on 2/18/25 did not include multiple stakeholders. Deduct 1 point.

## **Law Enforcement: G25-01-15-L01**

### **Needs Assessment**

- No change.

### **Project Certification**

- No change.

### **Project Cost Estimate**

- No change.

## **Restoration: G25-01-15-R02**

### **Project Description – Background**

- No change.

### **Project Description – Project Description**

- No change.

### **Project Description – List of Project Deliverables**

- No change.

### **Project Description – All Others**

- No change.

### **Project Cost Estimate**

- No change.

### **Evaluation Criteria**

- #2 - Narrative does not support the selections. Project is solely for Restoration Planning. Deduct 18 points.
- #8 - Narrative does not support the selection. Applicant did not provide adequate information on how “Los Angeles Department of Water and Power” will actively participate in the completion of the Project. Deduct 1 point.